

Form PTO-447A
(Rev. 7-98)

Staple to face of Application

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE**APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10086051****Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY**Name **FRANK, ELLIOT L** Date **3/25/2003****TO:** Art Unit **2857** Class/sub **702/82****FROM:** A.U. **2121** Class**REASON:**

No process control feedback from defect analysis required for 700/110. Claims directed to monitoring defects in process and recording/sorting results.

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC**By:** _____ **A.U.** **Date** _____**NOT ACCEPTED** ☐ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier**REASON:****Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER**☐ This dispute was resolved.

Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Concurring _____

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL**Post Classifier Assessment:**

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date _____

Panel Decision:

Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /

REASON:

Panel Member _____

Concurring Panel Member _____

forward to 2812
for class 438
IC send down
manufacture
w/tech

TO-447A
-98)

Staple to face of Application

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10086051

I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY

Name **FRANK, ELLIOT L** Date **3/25/2003**

Unit **2857** Class/sub **702/82**

FROM: A.U. **2121** Class _____

Control feedback from defect analysis required for 700/110. Claims directed to monitoring defects in process and reporting results.

Concurrence _____

Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC

By: HV A.U. 2857 Date 4-2-03

NOT ACCEPTED ☒ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier

REASON:

main tracking process - appears more appropriate to be in 200

Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☐ This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU _____ Class/Sub _____ / _____ Post Classifier _____
Date _____
Concurring _____

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Post Classifier concurrence _____ Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date _____

Panel Decision:

Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit _____ Class/sub _____ / _____

REASON:

Panel Member _____

Concurring Panel Member _____

*add to 2100 - NO reason for
600 to have this case.*

Wendy Gahler